

Schroeder, Kathy



From: Carla Kelly <cmyk@frontier.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2015 3:43 PM
To: Cnty 2016 Comp Plan
Subject: Proposed Land Use Plans

My husband and I urge the adoption of Alternative One. It is necessary to maintain land for agriculture and wildlife habitat, and to preserve land for future generations. Already, where we live in north Clark County, there are issues with wells going dry and the availability of water, which would only increase exponentially with development. Please walk away from greedy self-interests and maintain rural Clark County the way it is.

Alternatives 2,3, and 4 will lead to major changes and rapid development. Of course, that would be good for developers, but that is a very short view. In the long view, where is the infrastructure for the sort of development Alts. 2, 3 and 4 are designed to produce? We're talking electrical, municipal sewage and water, schools, overhauling roads, etc., etc., etc.. Didn't we learn our runaway development lesson with Los Angeles, and more locally, Battleground, Wa., where decades after that spate of unprepared for development, (no doubt sanctioned by the same Clark Co. Commissioners who are trying to foist this new disaster on us) is, at long last, almost connected to I-5-----but still not yet.

All of you Clark Co. Commissioners are "NO NEW TAXES" Republicans, yet you are pushing for the development of our county which will cause our taxes to increase exponentially just to fund your ill-advised land grab scheme. Not on our watch.

Go back to the drawing board Boys and research responsible Land Use and City Planning practices and leave our Green and Agricultural Lands Green and Agricultural!

Sincerely,
Michael and Carla Kelly
Woodland, Wa.